



BEYOND
SMART CITIES



welcome to
BEYOND SMART CITIES



FUNCTIONALITY OF THE MEASUREMENT AND VERIFICATION SPECIALIST

ONLINE PROFESSIONAL COURSES LED BY THE WORLD'S TOP SPECIALISTS

ONLINE TRAINING BY KRISHNAJI PAWAR

LEARN.BEYONDSMARTCITIES.IN

BEYOND

SMART CITIES

MODULE

6

System Boundary in M&V

KRISHNAJI PAWAR - CEO & FOUNDER

LEED AP(BD+C), GSAS CGP, GCP, ISO 14001

WWW.BEYONDSMARTCITIES.IN





FUNCTIONALITY OF THE MEASUREMENT AND VERIFICATION SPECIALIST

The system boundary is a crucial concept in Measurement and Verification, ensuring the accuracy and reliability of energy savings claims. It enhances clarity, accountability, and consistency in M&V efforts, enabling stakeholders to assess the impact of energy efficiency initiatives and make informed decisions.

Learning Objectives

- Introduction and Course Outline
- Contexts and Concepts: The M&V Specialist's Function
- Standards of Practice
- Practical Considerations for M&V
- Physical and statistical models
- System boundary in M&V
- Baseline: Additional Considerations
- Special Baseline Considerations for Utility Programs
- Implementing the baseline model and data from the reporting period
- Granularity and load shapes
- Using Statistics to Communicate Uncertainty



INTRODUCTION

- The system boundary is a fundamental theory of M&V, defining the limits of the system being evaluated for performance and/or "savings."
- It establishes what is included in the scope of the M&V plan and what is not.
- The system boundary can be defined in various ways, depending on the project and the goals of the M&V plan.
- Primary categories of system boundaries in M&V are: Whole facility, System-level, and Subsystem-level.
- The facility boundary is the most comprehensive and is typically used for complex retrofits with multiple Energy Conservation Measures (ECMs) or new construction projects.
- The system-level boundary includes a specific energy-using system, such as HVAC system, lighting system, or process equipment.
- The subsystem-level boundary includes a part or component of an energy-using system, used for projects involving upgrading or replacing individual components within an energy-using system.
- The FEMP and IPMVP "options" terminology arose from the awareness that M&V should comprise a range of approaches to develop an optimal plan for each project.

SYSTEM BOUNDARY IN MEASUREMENT AND VERIFICATION (M&V)

Definition and Importance of System Boundary

- System boundary refers to the physical and operational limits that define which components, processes, or activities are included in the M&V analysis.
- It helps in determining the scope of the M&V efforts and clarifying which variables will be monitored, measured, and reported.

Importance of System Boundary

- Provides a clear framework for identifying what data needs to be collected and how it will be analyzed.
- Ensures accountability by specifying the components included within the system boundary.
- Allows for consistent application of M&V methodologies across similar projects.
- Helps in understanding the influences on energy performance, including operational practices and external factors.





DETERMINING THE SYSTEM BOUNDARY

- Involves defining the project scope, component identification, operational context, external factors, and stakeholder input.

Examples of System Boundaries



- Commercial Building Retrofit: System boundary may include all lighting fixtures, controls, and associated electrical systems within the building.
- Industrial Process Improvement: System boundary might encompass the motor, associated drives, and the equipment it powers.
- District Heating System: System boundary could include the central boiler plant, distribution infrastructure, and end-user buildings.

WHOLE FACILITY STATISTICAL MODELS AND ESPC CONTRACTS

- Historical whole facility statistical methods relied on utility bills, but this is not always accurate due to potential ancillary loads and multiple metering.
- M&V professionals must study the facility's energy delivery systems to ensure data corresponds to the desired measurement boundary.
- ESPC contracts and utility programs often use the whole facility measurement boundary, but differ in their responsibility for project performance and operation.
- Utility programs often lack a method for accounting for non-routine events, while ESPC contracts include specific guidance.
- With the rise of "smart" meters and automated metering infrastructure, many utilities offer "pay for performance" programs, relying on agreed-upon algorithms and assuming non-routine events will "cancel out."
- Some programs use control groups to provide information on participant comparison to the population at large.





WHOLE FACILITY ENERGY/SIMULATION MODELS



- First principal energy models can be used for the whole facility method.
- A calibrated simulation model is the gold standard for M&V but is expensive.
- The "spherical cow" principle applies in implementing whole facility physical models.
- Sensitivity analysis is used to create Building Energy Model (BEM).
- Low fidelity model and high fidelity model are created.
- Emulator/surrogate is used to calibrate BEM.
- Model calibration is done through Meg drawines.
- The IPBSA site provides more information on energy modeling.
- New construction programs often use whole facility simulation models.
- The baseline is hypothetical, and design information is used to create a simulation model.

RETROFIT ISOLATION IN ENERGY MANAGEMENT

- ASHRAE Guideline 14 defines retrofit isolation as an M&V approach that measures energy consumption and demand of a portion of a facility or system that has been retrofitted.
- This approach ensures data collection accurately reflects predicted impacts, but may introduce unquantified effects outside the system boundary.
- The need for dedicated metering equipment is a major issue, requiring cost, potential interruptions of facility operations, security concerns, and safety concerns.
- The most effective approach to verify the impact of energy management activities for a building retrofit project involves an assessment of the facility, identifying potential retrofit measures, and using modeling tools and historical energy data to estimate the quantified impact of each measure.
- If the impacts are not significant enough, retrofit isolation is recommended, implementing targeted retrofit measures in specific areas where the potential for impact is highest.
- The choice between a whole facility approach and retrofit isolation depends on the facility's size and complexity, available budget, potential energy management impacts, and the level of accuracy required for M&V.



CONTACT US



+91 6363032722



info@beyondsmartcities.in



learn.beyondsmartcities.in



#55,HMR Layout ,Bengaluru ,India



THANK YOU

